

Types

Outline

1. begin with a set of terms, a set of values, and an evaluation relation
2. define a set of *types* classifying values according to their “shapes”
3. define a *typing relation* $t : T$ that classifies terms according to the shape of the values that result from evaluating them
4. check that the typing relation is *sound* in the sense that,
 - 4.1 if $t : T$ and $t \longrightarrow^* v$, then $v : T$
 - 4.2 if $t : T$, then evaluation of t will not get stuck

Review: Arithmetic Expressions – Syntax

t ::=	<i>terms</i>
true	<i>constant true</i>
false	<i>constant false</i>
if t then t else t	<i>conditional</i>
0	<i>constant zero</i>
succ t	<i>successor</i>
pred t	<i>predecessor</i>
iszero t	<i>zero test</i>
v ::=	<i>values</i>
true	<i>true value</i>
false	<i>false value</i>
nv	<i>numeric value</i>
nv ::=	<i>numeric values</i>
0	<i>zero value</i>
succ nv	<i>successor value</i>

Evaluation Rules

$$\text{if true then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow t_2 \quad (\text{E-IFTRUE})$$
$$\text{if false then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow t_3 \quad (\text{E-IFFALSE})$$
$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \longrightarrow \text{if } t'_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3} \quad (\text{E-IF})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{succ } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{succ } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-SUCC})$$

$$\text{pred } 0 \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\text{E-PREDZERO})$$

$$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv_1) \longrightarrow nv_1 \quad (\text{E-PREDSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{pred } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{pred } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-PRED})$$

$$\text{iszzero } 0 \longrightarrow \text{true} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROZERO})$$

$$\text{iszzero } (\text{succ } nv_1) \longrightarrow \text{false} \quad (\text{E-ISZEROSUCC})$$

$$\frac{t_1 \longrightarrow t'_1}{\text{iszzero } t_1 \longrightarrow \text{iszzero } t'_1} \quad (\text{E-ISZERO})$$

Types

In this language, values have two possible “shapes”: they are either booleans or numbers.

T ::=	<i>types</i>
Bool	<i>type of booleans</i>
Nat	<i>type of numbers</i>

Typing Rules

$$\text{true} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-TRUE})$$
$$\text{false} : \text{Bool} \quad (\text{T-FALSE})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$
$$0 : \text{Nat} \quad (\text{T-ZERO})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t_1 : \text{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-SUCC})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t_1 : \text{Nat}} \quad (\text{T-PRED})$$
$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t_1 : \text{Bool}} \quad (\text{T-ISZERO})$$

Typing Derivations

Every pair (t, T) in the typing relation can be justified by a *derivation tree* built from instances of the inference rules.

$$\frac{\quad \text{T-ZERO} \quad}{0 : \text{Nat}} \qquad \frac{\quad \text{T-ZERO} \quad}{0 : \text{Nat}} \qquad \frac{\quad \text{T-ZERO} \quad}{0 : \text{Nat}}$$
$$\frac{\quad \text{T-ISZERO} \quad}{\text{iszzero } 0 : \text{Bool}} \qquad \frac{\quad \text{T-PRED} \quad}{\text{pred } 0 : \text{Nat}}$$
$$\frac{\quad \text{T-IF} \quad}{\text{if iszero } 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else pred } 0 : \text{Nat}}$$

Proofs of properties about the typing relation often proceed by induction on typing derivations.

Imprecision of Typing

Like other static program analyses, type systems are generally *imprecise*: they do not predict exactly what kind of value will be returned by every program, but just a conservative (safe) approximation.

$$\frac{t_1 : \text{Bool} \quad t_2 : T \quad t_3 : T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 : T} \quad (\text{T-IF})$$

Using this rule, we cannot assign a type to

if true then 0 else false

even though this term will certainly evaluate to a number.